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CD 1

1 Preface 4:48
2 Introduction 10:34
3 The barbarian invasion… 10:50
4 The Catholic Church was derived… 9:57
5 Book I, Part I, Chapter I: The Rise of Greek Civilization 10:26
6 The Cretans worshipped a goddess… 10:10
7 The Homeric poems… 9:49
8 There was, however, in ancient Greece… 12:49

Total time on CD 1: 79:29

CD 2

1 The well-spring of which the soul… 12:55
2 Chapter II: The Milesian School 13:25
3 Chapter III: Pythagoras 11:48
4 It is interesting to observe… 11:55
5 Chapter IV: Heraclitus 8:51
6 From what survives of his writings… 9:00
7 The metaphysics of Heraclitus… 9:29
8 Chapter V: Parmenides 1:39

Total time on CD 2: 79:08
CD 3

1. The doctrine of Parmenides...
2. Chapter VI: Empedocles
3. Chapter VII: Athens in Relation to Culture
4. Chapter VIII: Anaxagoras
5. Chapter IX: The Atomists
6. In regard to both questions alike...
7. The modern physicist...
8. Chapter X: Protagoras

Total time on CD 3: 79:05

CD 4

1. To some extent...
2. Part II, Chapter XI: Socrates
3. The oracle of Delphi...
4. The Apology gives a clear picture...
5. Chapter XII: The Influence of Sparta
6. Sparta aroused among the other Greeks...
7. The myth of Sparta...
8. Chapter XIII: The Sources of Plato’s Opinions
9. Chapter XIV: Plato’s Utopia

Total time on CD 4: 78:16
### CD 5

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>We now come to the intellectual aspect of the religion…</td>
<td>10:21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Since no one knows who his parents are…</td>
<td>9:39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A Utopia, if seriously intended…</td>
<td>9:52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Chapter XV: The Theory of Ideas</strong></td>
<td>7:42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>In the last book of The Republic…</td>
<td>10:06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>This leads up to the famous simile…</td>
<td>9:49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I do not think that Plato’s logical objections…</td>
<td>9:56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Chapter XVI: Plato’s Theory of Immortality</strong></td>
<td>10:35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total time on CD 5: 78:07

### CD 6

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>This, however, is a digression…</td>
<td>9:37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The contention that all knowledge…</td>
<td>10:28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>Chapter XVII: Plato’s Cosmogony</strong></td>
<td>8:43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>This leads to a somewhat curious theory…</td>
<td>7:43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Chapter XVIII: Knowledge and Perception in Plato</strong></td>
<td>8:58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>We now reach Plato’s final argument…</td>
<td>10:18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The complete answer as regards propositions…</td>
<td>9:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Chapter XIX: Aristotle’s Metaphysics</strong></td>
<td>12:58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total time on CD 6: 77:51
CD 7

1. The true ground of the distinction… 10:19
2. Aristotle’s theology is interesting… 14:02
3. **Chapter XX: Aristotle’s Ethics** 10:55
4. Whatever may be thought of the magnanimous man… 10:35
5. Aristotle again shows his good sense… 11:15
6. **Chapter XXI: Aristotle’s Politics** 10:05
7. Plato’s Utopia is criticised by Aristotle… 12:19

Total time on CD 7: 79:35

CD 8

1. This leads to the question… 7:20
2. **Chapter XXII: Aristotle’s Logic** 11:10
3. 3. Overestimation of deduction. 9:55
4. **Chapter XXIII: Aristotle’s Physics** 13:58
5. **Chapter XXIV: Early Greek Mathematics and Astronomy** 13:06
6. Pythagoras, in all probability…. 12:21
7. **Part III, Chapter XXV: The Hellenistic World** 11:24

Total time on CD 8: 79:18
In the second century B.C...
There was widespread social discontent...

Chapter XXVI: Cynics and Sceptics
It is interesting to observe...
In the middle of this sceptical period...

Chapter XXVII: The Epicureans
Epicurus disagrees with some of his hedonist...
Epicurus has no interest...

Total time on CD 9: 78:39

The age of Epicurus was a weary age...

Chapter XXVIII: Stoicism
To a modern mind, it’s difficult to feel enthusiastic...
Posidonius (ca. 135 – ca. 51 B.C.) was a Syrian Greek...
Undoubtedly the age of the Antonines...
The contradiction between free will and determinism...

Chapter XXIX: The Roman Empire in Relation to Culture
This result was averted by two energetic men.

Total time on CD 10: 78:25
## CD 11

1. The Hellenizing of Rome… 8:22
2. 3. The unification of government and culture. 7:08
3. **Chapter XXX: Plotinus** 10:12
4. The respect of Plotinus for Plato is very great. 10:15
5. His objections to gnosticism are of two sorts. 8:29
6. ‘To ask why the soul has created the Kosmos…’ 9:27
7. **Book II: Catholic Philosophy Introduction** 7:42
8. The thirteenth-century synthesis… 8:51
9. **Part I, Chapter I: The Religious Development of the Jews** 8:41

**Total time on CD 11: 79:13**

## CD 12

1. Ezekiel is equally shocked… 10:17
2. The history of this period is told… 12:32
3. There are, however, important exceptions… 10:41
4. **Chapter II: Christianity During the First Four Centuries** 9:46
5. Origen’s longest work is a book entitled… 8:42
6. Miracles certainly played a very large part… 8:37
7. **Chapter III: Three Doctors of the Church** 9:29
8. The bishop was, at first… 9:16

**Total time on CD 12: 79:26**
St. Jerome was a man of many quarrels.

Of St. Augustine I shall speak, in this chapter…

The time came when he and his mother…

Chapter IV: St. Augustines Philosophy and Theology

Next comes the question of pious virgins…

Ever since the Fall…

Chapter V: The Fifth and Sixth Centuries

CD 14

One does not find a similar outlook…

Chapter VI: St. Benedict and Gregory the Great

Like other hermits, Benedict suffered…

Gregory’s letters are extraordinarily interesting…

Part II, Chapter VII: The Papacy in the Dark Age

The foundation of the Holy Roman Empire…

The business of the Patriarch Ignatius…

Total time on CD 13: 78:10

Total time on CD 14: 78:06
**CD 15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chapter VIII: John the Scot</td>
<td>8:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>John’s next work was a translation from the Greek…</td>
<td>9:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chapter IX: Ecclesiastical Reform in the Eleventh Century</td>
<td>11:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>During the eleventh century various other orders…</td>
<td>11:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>In Gregory’s time began the great dispute…</td>
<td>11:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chapter X: Mohammedan Culture and Philosophy</td>
<td>12:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The Nestorians, through whom, at first…</td>
<td>13:23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chapter XI: The Twelfth Century</td>
<td>2:28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total time on CD 15: 79:33

**CD 16**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>We must not be deceived by this literary archaism.</td>
<td>11:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Crusades</td>
<td>8:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Abelard’s most famous book…</td>
<td>10:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chapter XII: The Thirteenth Century</td>
<td>9:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>During the few years of peace…</td>
<td>9:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The only other popular heresy…</td>
<td>10:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chapter XIII: St. Thomas Aquinas</td>
<td>9:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>To return to the Summa contra Gentiles…</td>
<td>9:03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total time on CD 16: 78:58
CD 17

1 The Third Book is largely concerned… 12:51
2 Chapter XIV: Franciscan Schoolmen 8:44
3 Duns Scotus (ca. 1270–13-8) … 12:42
4 Occam’s political works are written… 12:58
5 Chapter XV: The Eclipse of the Papacy 9:55
6 Boniface the VIII was an Italian… 10:39
7 The Council of Constance had healed the schism… 9:40

Total time on CD 17: 77:34

CD 18

1 Book III, Part I, Chapter I: General Characteristics 11:11
2 Chapter II: The Italian Renaissance 11:50
3 The southern extremity of Italy… 12:04
4 Chapter III: Machiavelli 10:32
5 The Prince is very explicit in repudiating… 11:41
6 Chapter IV: Erasmus and More 11:33
7 Erasmus, on his second visit to England… 10:39

Total time on CD 18: 79:35
### CD 19

1. Everybody – men and women alike…  
2. **Chapter V: The Reformation and Counter-Reformation**  
3. **Chapter VI: The Rise of Science**  
4. Copernicus was not in a position…  
5. Galileo also studied projectiles…  
6. In the remainder of this chapter…  
7. **Chapter VII: Francis Bacon**  
8. **Chapter VIII: Hobbes’s Leviathan**

**Total time on CD 19: 79:12**

### CD 20

1. We will now consider the doctrines of the Leviathan…  
2. Throughout the Leviathan, Hobbes never considers…  
3. **Chapter IX: Descartes**  
4. This part of his theory was abandoned…  
5. Having now secured a firm foundation…  
6. **Chapter X: Spinoza**  
7. I come now to Spinoza’s theory of the emotions.

**Total time on CD 20: 78:09**
CD 21

1 ‘Love towards God’ we are told… 15:02
2 Chapter XI: Leibnitz 10:42
3 In contrasting himself with Spinoza… 10:56
4 The argument from the pre-established harmony… 10:13
5 Leibnitz based his philosophy… 11:36
6 Chapter XII: Philosophical Liberalism 10:37
7 A new movement. which has gradually developed… 10:26

Total time on CD 21: 79:37

CD 22

1 Chapter XIII: Locke’s Theory of Knowledge 10:40
2 What Locke means by ‘reason’… 9:17
3 Chapter VI of Book III… 7:00
4 Locke’s ethical doctrines are interesting… 10:47
5 Chapter XIV: Locke’s Political Philosophy 15:44
6 B. The State of Nature 16:19
7 C. The Social Contract 8:32

Total time on CD 22: 78:26
CD 23

1. The question of taxation… 3:32
2. D. Property 9:35
3. E. Checks and Balances 9:24
4. **Chapter XV: Locke’s Influence** 8:29
5. The difference in method is connected… 8:55
6. **Chapter XVI: Berkeley** 10:27
7. Let us now make a critical analysis… 10:32
8. But we must now ask ourselves what we mean… 12:19
9. **Chapter XVII: Hume** 5:21

Total time on CD 23: 78:42

CD 24

1. There is a section (Book I, part i, section vii)… 9:56
2. In the Cartesian Philosophy… 9:44
3. I do not wish, at the moment, to discuss induction… 8:18
4. The ultimate outcome of Hume’s investigation… 10:15
5. **Part II, Chapter XVIII: The Romantic Movement** 11:45
6. The beginnings of Romanticism in England… 13:44
7. **Chapter XIX: Rousseau** 15:47

Total time on CD 24: 79:33
We come now to the most fruitful period...

Apart from the fictitious character...

Rousseau has not that profound respect...

**Chapter XX: Kant A. German Idealism in General**

B. Outline of Kant’s Philosophy

A large part of The Critique of Pure Reason...

C. Kant’s Theory of Space and Time

The second metaphysical argument maintains...

---

Chapter XXI: Currents of Thought in the Nineteenth Century

Condorcet (1743–94) has opinions...

Darwin himself was a liberal...

**Chapter XXII: Hegel**

Knowledge as a whole has its triadic movement.

German history is divided by Hegel...

Such is Hegel’s doctrine of the State...

**Chapter XXIII: Byron**

---

Total time on CD 25: 78:50

Total time on CD 26: 79:31
CD 27

1. It is obvious that an aristocrat does not become...
2. Chapter XXIV: Schopenhauer
3. All this is very sad...
4. Chapter XXV: Nietzsche
5. Two applications of his ethic deserve notice...
6. It remains to consider the main ethical problem...
7. Chapter XXVI: The Utilitarians

Total time on CD 27: 78:27

CD 28

1. It was through the influence of James Mill...
2. Chapter XXVIII: Karl Marx
3. On the other hand, when we come to the detail...
4. Chapter XXVII: Bergson
5. ‘The intellect’, Bergson says...
6. We must now return to the subject of instinct...
7. I shall begin with the theory of space...

Total time on CD 28: 78:21
Apart from the question of number… 7:03
Chapter XXIX: William James 10:38
The ethic that would result… 11:41
Chapter XXX: John Dewey 14:15
Let us now try to find the supplement… 12:08
Chapter XXXI: The Philosophy of Logical Analysis 11:27
From all this it seems to follow… 10:36

Total time on CD 29: 77:55
Total time on CDs 1–29: 38:05:11
Bertrand Russell
(1872–1970)

A HISTORY OF
Western Philosophy
and its Connection with Political and Social Circumstances from the Earliest Times to the Present Day

For sixty years Bertrand Russell was the public face of English philosophy. Seen from the outside, he appeared to have everything: aristocratic birth; impeccable connections in the social, political and academic worlds; equally at home in the halls of Cambridge and the literary salons of London; intellectual power that was frightening to ordinary mortals; the ability to produce works on logic and mathematics so profound that only a handful of men in England could read them, but at the same time the literary skills to spread his ideas to the millions; decidedly a member of the establishment, the elite, and yet a man of fiercely independent mind. This was Russell’s image, and with his eternal pipe, his mane of white hair, his air of omniscience, and his cultured Oxbridge voice, he appeared the embodiment of the intellectual life, rising above the trivial day-to-day struggles that make up ordinary existence.

Yet behind this public persona lay a very different story, for Russell’s personal life, on both the intellectual and emotional levels, was a story of conflict, of disorder frequently approaching chaos, of pain, disappointment and failure. Disturbed by the early loss of the religious faith in which he was raised, Russell sought throughout his life certainty of knowledge. He thought
he had found it first in mathematics, and then in the formal logic of philosophy. The great goal of his early life was to unite these two spheres, to show that mathematics and logic were equivalent systems of knowledge, using different but parallel languages. Together with Alfred North Whitehead, he wrote *Principia Mathematica* (1910-1913), a work so difficult and rarefied that he later claimed that its composition had permanently impaired his intellectual faculties, in spite of which he admitted that it had not succeeded in its stated aim. Russell spent the next forty years circling around and around this problem of the foundations of human knowledge. Sometimes he felt that a rigorous systematic answer must be attainable, at other times he despaired. He turned to writing popular works of philosophy, in which he explored the same problem in common-sense language. But all Russell’s work in this field remained exploratory; he never achieved a decisive breakthrough into a new vision of what truth was or might be, whether expressed in mathematical terms or in everyday language. All his life he remained a materialist and an atheist, convinced, in the famous words of his pupil Wittgenstein, that ‘The world is all that is the case’ and that the only true guide to the way the world really functions is science.

At the same time that this intellectual odyssey was being played out, stability in his personal life proved equally elusive, so much so that he seems to have a streak of utter irrationality, of self-destructiveness, in his nature, which contrasts oddly with what we might expect from a major philosopher. Russell was a compulsive womaniser: he was married four times, but he also conducted a string of affairs. The affairs and the marriages (except the last) all ended in bitter acrimony, leaving him with numbers of children and grandchildren whose traumatic experiences in later life caused him further pain. He became a social and political radical, imprisoned during the Great War, not directly for pacifism, but for criticism of the British government, which technically amounted almost to treason. This cost him his Cambridge fellowship and drove him into populist publishing as a source of income. But the separation
from his academic peers led him into intellectual isolation, and if he attempted once again to write serious philosophy, those works were poorly received. He was rarely out of the news, however, and his former colleagues felt that he had become a populariser, a showman who could no longer be regarded seriously. He spent the war years in America, and it was there that he wrote his *A History of Western Philosophy*, published in 1945 and by far the most ambitious non-technical book he had ever attempted.

Introducing the work, Russell commented that in most books of this kind, the great philosophers seem to emerge out of a vacuum, their ideas are analysed, then they vanish, to be replaced by somebody else. Russell’s stated aim was to do something more than this, to show how philosophical ideas sprang from their time, the age in which they were produced, and which perhaps they in turn helped to shape. In other words he set out to write not so much a technical history from within the discipline of philosophy, but rather a cultural history of ideas. He wanted not a sequence of individual miniature portraits, but a coherent, fully composed picture, one that would explain what ancient Greece, medieval Christendom, the Renaissance, the age of empirical science, the age of romanticism and so on, were all about.

This was an exciting and praiseworthy aim: did he succeed? The answer seems to depend on whether one is a serious, academic philosopher, or merely that fabled creature, the intelligent layman. For the layman, Russell produced a rich narrative that filled in a vast amount of historical background, gave wherever possible a living picture of the philosopher in his time, and then an account of his ideas which was brief but authoritative. He brought between the covers of one book a story that covered more than two thousand years of history and thought, a story that would absorb an intelligent layman for many months, perhaps even years, a story to which he could return again and again, and which would spur him on to further more detailed reading.

But to the specialist philosopher the whole enterprise looked much shakier. To state that the historical background
is important to philosophy is one thing; to explain precisely why any given era should produce one particular approach to philosophy, is quite another. General background about the medieval Christian Church, the Scientific Revolution, or the Romantic era, is not enough on its own to lead us into the mind of Aquinas, Descartes, or Hegel, nor is it enough to explain how these men could be outstanding original geniuses, and yet also embody the spirit of their age.

Russell’s own ideas and character are very much in evidence throughout. For example his antipathy to religion is well known, yet he devotes more than one fifth of the book to an account of Christian philosophy from the time of the Church Fathers to the eve of the Renaissance. At the end of this section his judgement on Saint Thomas Aquinas, the supreme rational theologian of the Middle Ages, is that ‘There is little of the true philosophic spirit in Aquinas… Before he begins to philosophise, he knows the truth.’ This surely stands as Russell’s universal judgement on religion as a whole. Russell’s approach here seems to have been modelled on that of Gibbon, conveying through stabs of none-too-subtle irony what he really felt about the history of religion. He was evidently fascinated by St Augustine, a man as much the victim of his own passions as Russell himself. Surprisingly however, he was also drawn to Plotinus, the neo-Platonist and one of the most ethereal of all philosophers. Plotinus felt a keen sense of the imperfections of this world, and sought to escape from the anarchy of experience. In reaction, he proposed for the universe a pure, idealised, spiritual structure, which could be known only by rigorous intellectual discipline, and evidently Russell was reminded here of his own youthful pursuit of the ideal of analytical logic, still sadly unfulfilled.

One of the puzzles of the book is this: Russell states explicitly his belief that true knowledge comes only from science, that outside science there is only speculation, untestable and unprovable. Yet in the book the amount of space devoted to the history of science and its relationship with philosophy is very small, even when discussing the 19th and 20th
centuries. In the closing sections of the book, modern science – atomic structure, quantum physics and relativity – also occupy one page, while the expanding universe is not mentioned at all, and nor is Freudian psychology. The only definition of philosophy that Russell puts forward is the rather vague one that it occupies an intermediate borderland between science, which offered certain knowledge, and theology, which offered only dogma. If this were true, we wonder why he did not feel it necessary to counterpoint the history of science with that of philosophy, showing how the one impacted on the other. Nor does he reflect on the limitations of science, its inability to guide us in the moral, spiritual and existential choices of real life.

Perhaps the central truth is that a history of philosophy cannot be held together without some strong conception of what philosophy is, outside of its time-bound manifestations. Wisdom and knowledge, creation and cosmology, God and man, nature and man, good and evil, law and social structure, science and mathematics, history and purpose, perception and thought, language and logic, experience and reason: at various times all these subjects have absorbed those whom we call philosophers, and many of them have concentrated on one or two of these areas, ignoring the others or even dismissing them as meaningless. This diversity of subject matter makes it extremely difficult to grasp or define the identity of philosophy as a whole. Philosophy is clearly a quest for truth, a reflection on human knowledge and on how we may arrive at the truth. Throughout its history, philosophy has pursued this quest in a number of different fields, through different problems and areas of human experience. To explain why these different areas have appeared to be central to philosophers in different historical epochs, and whether these problems have ever been solved, is a massive task, requiring enormous erudition and exceptional clarity of thought. Russell guides us expertly through the nature of Plato’s ideal society, Aristotle’s metaphysics, Descartes’ theory of mind and body, Spinoza’s serene pantheism, Nietzsche’s glorification
of will and power, the foundations of logical analysis laid by Frege and by Russell himself, and many other difficult ideas. But does he manage to pull all of this together into a purposeful narrative and identify what the purpose is, or say whether it might ever be capable of fulfilment?

Professional philosophers questioned this very point, and they either ignored the book out of respect for Russell, or allowed it faint praise as a layman’s introduction; any history of philosophy that devoted a chapter to Byron was certain in any case to dismay academic readers. In the middlebrow press it was well reviewed by distinguished names like G.M. Trevelyan, A.L. Rowse and Julian Huxley – none of whom were of course philosophers – and the book was specifically cited when Russell was awarded the Nobel Prize for Literature in 1950. It still holds the status of a popular classic.

Russell brought his history to an end around 1920, just a little too early for him to take note of existentialism, which is a pity, because if ever there was a philosophy that was a child of its time it is surely existentialism, the product of twentieth-century war, dehumanisation and the disillusionment with formal philosophy. Yet in the last dozen years of his life Russell seemed to throw himself into a form of social commitment à la Sartre, devoting himself to anti-nuclear and anti-war campaigns which kept him still a giant figure in the public eye, as he had been for sixty years. His own formal philosophy had been unable to do justice to the richness of life, but throughout his extraordinary life he had shown a willingness to throw away logic when driven by deeper impulses that he could not rationalise, and the last phase of his life completed this pattern, caught in the intractable battle between reason and passion.

Notes by Peter Whitfield
Jonathan Keeble combines his audio work with a busy theatre and TV career. He has featured in over 600 radio plays for the BBC, appearing in everything from Shakespeare and Sherlock Holmes to Doctor Who and The Archers, in which he played the evil Owen. His voice work is much in demand and ranges from the audio guide for the Sistine Chapel to voicing the Angel of Death in the film Hellboy II, with stops at all points in between. An award-winning reader, Jonathan has recorded over 200 audiobooks.

Credits

Produced by Pippa Vaughan and Nicolas Soames
Edited and mastered by Sarah Butcher
UK & rest of the world: © 1996 The Bertrand Russell Peace Foundation Ltd; First published 1946 by George Allen & Unwin Ltd, London; Reproduced by kind permission of Routledge, an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group. An Informa business
USA: Copyright © 1945 by Bertrand Russell; Copyright renewed © 1972 by Bertrand Russell
© Booklet: Naxos AudioBooks Ltd 2013

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. UNAUTHORISED PUBLIC PERFORMANCE, BROADCASTING AND COPYING OF THESE COMPACT DISCS PROHIBITED.

Booklet and cover design: Hannah Whale, Fruition – Creative Concepts, using images from Shutterstock
For a complete catalogue and details of how to order other Naxos AudioBooks titles please contact:

In the UK: Naxos AudioBooks, Select Music & Video Distribution, 3 Wells Place, Redhill, Surrey RH1 3SL. Tel: 01737 645600.

In the USA: Naxos of America Inc., 1810 Columbia Ave., Suite 28, Franklin, TN37064. Tel: +1 615 771 9393

In Australia: Select Audio/Visual Distribution Pty. Ltd., PO Box 691, Brookvale, NSW 2100. Tel: +61 299481811

order online at www.naxosaudiobooks.com